

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:)	Atty. Docket No.:
RHODA)	49-151 US
)	
Serial No. 10/697,270)	Art Unit: 2454
)	
Filing Date: 10/31/2003)	Examiner:
)	MOHAMED WASEL
Confirmation No. 2646)	
)	
For: EXTENSIBLE NETWORK AGENT)	
<u>METHOD, SYSTEM AND ARCHITECTURE</u>)	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. 1.133 (b)

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.133(b), this filing is intended to summarize the telephone interview attended by Examiner Wasel and counsel for Applicants, Matthew Pequignot, which took place on June 24, 2010.

During the June 24th interview, counsel for Applicants discussed the general subject matter of the application and the current claim scope and made certain arguments pertaining to the patentability of the pending claims over the prior art of record. In particular, counsel argued that the presently claimed invention allows one agent to be utilized for a plurality of tested devices whereas the prior art of record requires an agent for each tested device.

In addition, during the interview, the Examiner suggested that the current claim set should be amended to more clearly claim the invention described in the specification. Although no agreement was reached regarding the manner of amending the

claims, counsel proposed that amendments would be made to the claims in the response to be filed in reply to the Office Action mailed January 25, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

/Matthew A. Pequignot/

Matthew A. Pequignot
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 43,851
Customer No. 44362

Phone: 202-328-1200
Fax: 202-328-2219